

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/677,581	09/29/2000	Diana G. Hildreth	P/2167-165	3870
21967 7:	590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			POINVIL, FRANTZY	
INTELLECTU 1900 K STREE	AL PROPERTY DEPART	MENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200			3628	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/677,581	HILDRETH, DIANA G.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frantzy Poinvil	3628				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	). nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/05/</u>	05.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3628

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norris (US Patent No. 6,105,007) considered with Philip, Storms, "Using Mortgage Credit to Achieve Client Objectives" and LendingTree.

As per claims 1 and 65, Norris discloses a system and method for allowing a user of a user terminal to receive credit financing opportunities (see the abstract), the method comprising: receiving credit data from the user terminal, the credit data including a user objective and a credit profile;

determining credit financing opportunities based on the credit data and at least one predetermined decision rule;

generating an output, the output including comparison of at least part of the credit profile with the determined credit financing opportunities;

and providing the output to the user terminal.

The credit profile comprises at least one loan, line of credit, credit card, secured

outstanding balance, monthly or unsecured credit, bank or provider, interest rate, payment, available line of credit, balance, term, and years remaining in access period.

As per the above noted features, applicant is directed to columns 6 and 7 of Norris.

Applicant's representative argues that Norris does not teach an output is generated which includes a comparison of at least part of a credit profile with a determined credit financing opportunity as recited in claims 1, 20, 39, 58 and 60.

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's representative. It is noted that a credit profile is interpreted as any type of information related to the user at the user terminal. Part of a credit profile may be the user's name, income, payment terms and conditions related to the user applying for the financing opportunities. The determined credit opportunities are the interest rates and the amount available for the user to borrow.

As per argument that Norris does not include a comparison, it is noted that the claims are not positively reciting performing a comparison. Only the result or output of a comparison is being claimed. Such an output may be outputting the credit profile of the user with the loan amount available to the user.

This teaching is clearly taught by Norris. Note columns 6 and 7 and particularly, column 8, line 56 to column 9, line 3 of Norris.

Applicant's representative argues that Norris failed to teach or suggest "the credit profile comprises at least one particular of any number of financial products held by the user " and "wherein the at least one credit financing opportunity comprises any number of financial products that meet the user objective" as now introduced in the independent claims.

In response, Norris is directed to an automated loan system whereby a user accesses a terminal and requests a loan. Aspects of credit profiles and the provision of at least one credit financing opportunity comprising any number of financial products that meet the user objective are not explicitly taught by Norris. A user's objective is usually taken in consideration when trying to obtain credit financing. Philip Storms discusses in an article entitled "Using Mortgage Credit to Achieve Client Objectives" that in providing a loan to a client, the client's profile must be analyzed and a more appropriate credit financing meeting the client's objective must be considered and chosen. See the article. LendingTree further teaches means or steps for determining at least one credit financing opportunity based on the credit data at least one predetermined decision rule wherein at least one credit financing opportunity comprises any number of financial products that meet the user objective. See the entire article.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings provided by Philip Storms and LendingTree into the system of Norris in order to allow the system to tailor credit financing opportunities based on specific needs of a customer.

As per claim 2, Norris discloses the method including receiving a request from the user terminal to apply for a determined credit opportunity (column 6, lines 13-44).

As per claim 3, Norris discloses the credit profile is comprised of at least one user credit data element, the at least one user credit data element identifying one or more characteristics of corresponding financing previously undertaken by the user (column 6, lines 45-47 and column 9, lines 38-40).

As per claim 4, Norris discloses generating the output includes generating a first level output and generating a second level output (column 6, lines 54-64 and column 8, lines 56-67).

As per claim 5, Norris discloses the first level output includes one or more comparisons determined credit opportunity with a respective one of the at least one user credit data elements (column 7, lines 10-37).

As per claim 6, Norris discloses there are a plurality of credit data elements and the second output includes one or more comparisons of a determined credit opportunity with the plurality of user credit data elements (column 7, lines 10-37).

As per claim 7, Norris discloses a summary of the user profile data (column 8, lines 56-67 and column 6, lines 54-64).

As per claim 8, Norris discloses the at least one user credit data element includes an interest rate, an outstanding balance and a monthly payment (column 6, lines 45-46) as these information are obtained from a credit bureau.

As per claim 9, Norris discloses the at least one user credit data element further includes an identification of whether the corresponding credit data element was issued by a provider of the financing opportunities (as these information are obtained from the credit bureau and or provided by the loan applicant).

As per claim 10, Norris discloses the at least one user credit data element corresponds to at least one credit card, a vehicle loan, secured debt, an unsecured debt, home equity loan and a residential mortgage (column 6, lines 45-46).

As per claim 11, Norris discloses the summary includes at least one summary area corresponding to one of the user's credit cards, personal lines of credit, personal loans, home

Art Unit: 3628

equity lines of credit, auto loans, unsecured credit and total outstanding credit (see column 8, lines 56-67).

As per claim 12, Norris discloses the user data further includes personal data corresponding to the user and further including the act of obtaining credit worthiness report based on the personal data (column 6, lines 29-46).

As per claim 13, Norris disclose determining credit financing opportunities includes consideration of the credit worthiness of the corresponding user (column 6, lines 45-64).

Claims 20-32 and 39-52 are directed to a computer storage medium storing computer executable instructions which when executed perform the functions found in claims 1-13. It is noted that Norris is a computer system and contains storage means and a processor for performing the functions recited in claims 1-13. Thus, claims 20-32 and 39-52 are rejected under a similar rationale applied to claims 1-13 above.

As per claims 15, 34 and 54, Norris discloses the user profile data includes a state of residence and wherein the predetermined decision rules include consideration of the state residence and current interest rates for potential financing opportunities (column 6, lines 29-44).

The combined teachings of Norris, Philip Storms and LendingTree are discussed above. As per claims 16-17, 35-36 and 55-56, the combination does not explicitly teach including receiving a request for detailed information corresponding to a selected one of the financing opportunities and wherein at least a part of the output is provided a substantially pictorial form and wherein the pictorial form includes at least one of a graph and a pie chart. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Art Unit: 3628

Norris, Philip Storms and LendingTree to include such a feature in order to provide loan applicants with detailed information they can analyze at a glance.

As per claims 18, 37 and 57, the combined teachings of Norris, Philip Storms and LendingTree are discussed above. The combination does not explicitly teach the predetermined decision rules further consider the user's taxation rate in determining viable credit opportunities. Considering a user's taxation rate in determining credit opportunities would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to determine the true value that a user is qualified for especially if the loan is for a home in which the tax may be excessively high.

As per claims 19 and 38, the combined teachings of Norris, Philip Storms and LendingTree are discussed above. The combination does not explicitly teach preparing a list of potential financing opportunities at least partially based on opportunity data received from at least one product processor, and wherein the determined financing opportunities are selected from the prepared list. Preparing such a list would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the combination above in order to show all types of loan an applicant is qualified to apply for.

As per claim 58, Norris discloses a method for allowing a user of a user terminal to apply for a credit financing opportunity via a communication network, the method comprising:

Receiving <u>credit data</u> from the user terminal, the <u>credit data including a</u> user objective indicating that the user wishes to obtain credit and <u>a credit profile</u> (column 6, lines 6-44);

Determining potential financing products based at least in part of the credit data (column 7, lines 38-47);

Providing a list of the determined potential financing products to the user terminal and a comparison of at least part of the credit profile with the determined potential financing products (Column 8, lines 42-67). A comparison of the credit profile with the determined potential financing products is the result of the loan data displayed to the loan applicant.

As per these limitations, applicant is directed to column 6lines 13-64 of Norris.

Norris is directed to an automated loan system whereby a user accesses a terminal and requests a loan. Aspects of credit profiles and the provision of at least one credit financing opportunity comprising any number of financial products that meet the user objective are not explicitly taught by Norris. A user's objective is—usually taken in consideration when trying to obtain credit financing. Philip Storms discusses in an article entitled "Using Mortgage Credit to Achieve Client Objectives" that in providing a loan to a client, the client's profile must be analyzed and a more appropriate credit financing meeting the client's objective must be considered and chosen. See the article. LendingTree further teaches means or steps for determining at least one credit financing opportunity based on the credit data at least one predetermined decision rule wherein at least one credit financing opportunity comprises any number of financial products that meet the user objective. See the entire article.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings provided by Philip Storms and LendingTree into the system of Norris in order to allow the system to tailor credit financing opportunities based on specific needs of a customer.

As per claim 60, Norris discloses a method for allowing a user of a terminal to receive optimized information regarding a selected topic, the method comprising:

Determining optimized information based on results of applying at least one predetermined decision rule to the operation data, the user objective <u>and current usage profile</u>. As per this feature, applicant is directed to column 6, lines 6-44 of Norris and the teachings of Philip Storms and LendingTree.

Generating an output including a comparison of at least part of the current usage profile with the determined optimized information. As per this feature, applicant is directed to column 8, lines 42-67 of Norris, and the teaching of Philip Storms.

As per these limitations, applicant is referred to column 6, line 13 to column 7, line 53.

Aspects of credit profiles and the provision of at least one credit financing opportunity comprising any number of financial products that meet the user objective are not explicitly taught by Norris. A user's objective is usually taken in consideration when trying to obtain credit financing. Philip Storms discusses in an article entitled "Using Mortgage Credit to Achieve Client Objectives" that in providing a loan to a client, the client's profile must be analyzed and a more appropriate credit financing meeting the client's objective must be considered and chosen. See the article. LendingTree further teaches means or steps for determining at least one credit financing opportunity based on the credit data at least one predetermined decision rule wherein at least one credit financing opportunity comprises any number of financial products that meet the user objective. See the entire article.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings provided by Philip Storms and LendingTree into the system of Norris in order to allow the system to tailor credit financing opportunities based on specific needs of a customer.

As per claim 61, the knowledge base is connected to an electronic network. See figure 1 of Norris.

As per claim 62, the output includes links to at least one other electronic site (column 9, lines 47-60).

As per claim 63, querying at least one search engine concerning the input data and processing the results of the querying of at least one search engine (see column 6, line 13 to column 7, line 53).

As per claim 64, Norris discloses the output includes a list of the optimized information to the user terminal. See column 8, lines 56-67.

As per claim 59, Norris discloses providing a loan to applicants. The combined teachings specify the type of loans include: vehicle loans, education loans, home improvement loans, medical loans, large event loans and vacation loans.

As per claims 14, 33 and 53, Philip Storms disclose the credit opportunity is considered viable if is determined in the determining act that the user will save least a predetermined amount of money during a predetermined time period.

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/677,581 Page 11

Art Unit: 3628

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (703) 305-9779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantzy Poinvil
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3628

FP October 20, 2005